UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,953	07/03/2003	Paul Tornaquindici	PAL-0001	6746
	7590 06/30/200 FOERSTER LLP	EXAMINER		
12531 HIGH B			JEANTY, ROMAIN	
SUITE 100 SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,953	TORNAQUINDICI, PAUL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Romain Jeanty	3623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron the, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 1/2 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-17 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 18-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	vn from consideration. or election requirement. ner. cepted or b) □ objected to by the		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	oate	

Application/Control Number: 10/613,953 Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 18-28 in the reply filed on March 10, 2008 is acknowledged. Claims 18-18 are pending in the application and are rejected on the merits below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kane et al (US 20030014291)

Regarding claims 18 and 25-27, Kane discloses receiving a store layout including existing products from a requestor [Parafraph 0031]; categorizing each said existing product by type [Paragraph 0033]; assigning shelf space to each said existing product, wherein said assigning includes placing each said existing product in close geographic proximity to another said existing product with the same type [Paragraphs 0034 and 0036]; updating said store layout responsive to said assigning [Paragraphs 0038 and 0040]. Kane discloses all of the limitations above but fails to disclose transmitting said store layout to said requestor in response to said updating. However, transmitting updated data to users is old and well known in the

communication art to allow the users to make changes to the information. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to modify the disclosures of Kane et a to include transmitting the store layout to a requester because it would allow the requester to efficiently make changes to the store layout, thereby providing satisfaction to the requester.

Regarding claim 19, Kane does not explicitly discloses wherein said shelf space includes *one or more* of a refrigeration unit. Shelf space to include a refrigeration unit is old and well known in the art in order to provide more space in the refrigeration unit. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a refrigeration unit in the disclosures of Kane in or to allocate space.

Regarding claim 20, Kane further discloses wherein said method further comprises: receiving a new product; categorizing said new product by said type; and assigning shelf space to said new product, wherein said assigning shelf space to said new product includes placing said new product in close geographic proximity to a said existing product with the same type [Paragraphs 0034 and 0036.

Claim 21 recites similar limitations of claim 18 above; therefore claim 21 is rejected under the same analysis relied upon of claim 18 above. In addition, Kane teaches a network; a user system in communication with said network; and a host system in communication with said network [i.e., facsimile, browser and GUI; Paragraphs 0012 and 0013).

Regarding claim 22. Kane further discloses a storage device in communication with said network wherein said store layout is located on said storage device [Paragraph 0024].

Regarding 23. Kane further discloses wherein said network is the Internet [i.e., facsimile,

browser and GUI; Paragraphs 0012 and 0013].

Regarding 24, The system of claim 21 wherein said network in an intranet [i.e., facsimile, browser and GUI; Paragraphs 0012 and 0013].

Claim 28 is a computer program product for grouping items in a grocery store, the computer program product comprising: a storage medium readable by a processing circuit and storing instructions for execution by the processing circuit for performing the steps of method claim 18; therefore, claim 28 is rejected under the same analysis relied upon of claim 18.

Conclusion

- 4. prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Huffman et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,170,285) discloses a method of removably sealing a refrigeration unit in a vending machine having an interior space accessible through an open front covered by a door.
- b. Brown (Allocation of Shelf Space: A Case Study of Refrigerated Juice Products in Grocery Products) discloses shelf allocation system.
- c. Urban (An Inventory-Theoretic Approach to Product Assortment and Shelf-Space Allocation) discloses shelf allocation models.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Romain Jeanty whose telephone number is (571) 272-6732. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,953 Page 5

Art Unit: 3623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq R. Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Romain Jeanty/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623 May 26, 2008